

CEB® Checklist of Objections

Stay informed on the latest legal news with a free CEB account. You'll have access to our daily law alerts and articles on recent legal developments, as well as to our extensive database of cases, codes, and rules of court. **Sign up now at research.ceb.com.**

Chapter cross-references are to California Trial Objections.

Objections to Competence to Testify

Cannot be understood	Evid C §701(a)(1); chap 18.
Does not understand duty to tell truth	Evid C §701(a)(2); chap 18.
No personal knowledge	Evid C §§702, 800; chap 18.
Juror cannot give subjective evidence impeaching verdict	Evid C §1150; chap 18.
Juror at this trial	Evid C §704(b); chap 18.
Judge at this trial	Evid C §703; chap 18.

Objections to Form of Questions

Ambiguous or unintelligible	Evid C §765(a); chap 7.
Argumentative	Evid C §765(a); chap 14.
Assumes fact not in evidence	Evid C §§210, 765(a); chap 15.
Calls for narrative answer	Evid C §765(a); chap 10.
Calls for speculation	Evid C §§702, 800 (matter not in witness's personal knowledge), 801 (question calls for improper opinion); chap 16.
Compound	Evid C §765(a); chap 8.
Has been asked and answered	Evid C §765(a); chap 11.
Leading	Evid C §767; chap 13.
Misquotes a witness	Evid C §765(a); chap 12.
Too general	Evid C §765(a); chap 9.
Improper hypothetical question	<i>People v Vang</i> (2011) 52 C4th 1038; chap 20.

Objections Concerning Experts

Information will not help trier of fact	Evid C §801(a); chap 20.	Use of new scientific technique that does not satisfy <i>Kelly</i> test	<i>People v Leahy</i> (1994) 8 C4th 587; chap 20.
Insufficient foundation to qualify as expert	Evid C §§720, 801; chap 20.	Witness is basing opinion on material that may not reasonably be relied on	Evid C §801(b); chap 20.
Should give basis of opinion before stating opinion	Evid C §802; chap 20.	Improper legal opinion	Evid C §801(a); <i>Summers v A. L. Gilbert</i> (1999) 69 CA4th 1155; chap 20
Subject matter not beyond experience of ordinary witness	Evid C §801(a); chap 20.	Improper hearsay	Evid C §801(b); <i>People v Sanchez</i> (2016) 63 C4th 665; chap 20.

Objections to Offered Evidence

Communications made "for the purpose of, in the course of, or pursuant to" a mediation	Evid C §1119; chap 32.	Improper evidence of prior sexual conduct in rape case	Evid C §782; chap 22.
Cross-examination exceeds scope of direct examination	Evid C §§761, 773; chap 26.	Improper impeachment	Evid C §§352, 780, 785, 789, 1101–1103; chap 22.
Cumulative evidence	Evid C §352; chap 31.	Improper rehabilitation	Evid C §§780, 785, 789–791; chap 23.
Evidence of subsequent repairs or subsequent remedial conduct	Evid C §1151; chap 32.	Inadmissible opinion of lay witness	Evid C §§800, 802–803; chap 20.
Evidence that party has liability insurance	Evid C §1155; chap 32.	Inadmissible parole evidence	CCP §1856; chap 25.
Hearsay	Evid C §1200; chap 19.	Insufficient foundation	Evid C §403 or §405; chap 21.
Illegally obtained evidence	US Const amends IV, XIV; Cal Const art I, §13 (objection normally must be made before trial); chap 28.	Irrelevant evidence	Evid C §§210, 350–351; chap 17.
		Party's offer to compromise, or admissions made during compromise negotiations	Evid C §1152; chap 32.
		Writing not (properly) authenticated	Evid C §1401; chap 21.

Objections to Misconduct

Misconduct of counsel:	
Object to specific acts as misconduct and ask for curative admonition	Cite specific grounds and authority, <i>e.g.</i> , failure to provide required discovery (Pen C §1054.5(b) (criminal); CCP §2023.010(d) (civil)); chap 29.
Move for mistrial on ground that effect of misconduct is so prejudicial that fair trial is now impossible	<i>People v McLain</i> (1988) 46 C3d 97, 112; chap 29.
Misconduct of judge:	
Object to judge's misconduct, describe it, object as error, and	ABA Model Code of Judicial Cond Canon 3(A); <i>People v Perkins</i> (2003) 109 CA4th 1562, 1566; chap 29.
Move for mistrial on ground that effect of misconduct is so prejudicial that fair trial is now impossible	<i>People v Woods</i> (1950) 35 C2d 504, 512; chap 29.
Misconduct of juror (before verdict rendered):	
Object to specific acts as misconduct	<i>People v Pierce</i> (1979) 24 C3d 199; chap 29.
Move for mistrial on ground that effect of misconduct is so prejudicial that fair trial is now impossible	<i>People v Daniels</i> (1991) 52 C3d 815, 864; chap 29.
Request that jury be instructed to disregard misconduct	<i>People v Harper</i> (1986) 186 CA3d 1420; chap 29.

Motions to Strike

Answer contains inadmissible portions (specify portions)	<i>People v Glass</i> (1954) 127 CA2d 751; chap 52.
Answer was nonresponsive to question	Evid C §766; chap 52.
Evidence has been shown to be inadmissible	<i>People ex rel Dep't of Pub. Works v Dunn</i> (1956) 46 C2d 639; chap 52.
Insufficient opportunity to object to question before witness answered, and question is objectionable on ground (specify)	<i>Wysock v Borchers Bros.</i> (1951) 104 CA2d 571, 581; chap 52.
No foundation has been proved	Evid C §§403, 405; chap 52.
Witness unavailable for cross-examination	<i>People v Reynolds</i> (1984) 152 CA3d 42; chap 52.

Objections Because of Privilege

Against self-incrimination	US Const amends V, XIV; Cal Const art I, §15; Evid C §§404, 940; chap 46.
Attorney-client	Evid C §§916, 950–962; chap 34.
Lawyer referral service-client	Evid C §§965–968; chap 34.
Cleric-penitent	Evid C §§1030–1034; chaps 50–51.
Confidential marital communications	Evid C §§916, 980–987; chap 40.
Domestic violence counselor-victim	Evid C §§1037–1037.8; chap 39.
Sexual assault counselor-victim	Evid C §§916, 1035–1036.2; chap 38.
Defendant in criminal case not to be called as witness and not to testify	US Const amends V, XIV; Cal Const art I, §15; Evid C §930; chap 47.
Human trafficking caseworker-victim	Evid C §§1038–1038.3. See chap 39A.
Identity of informer	Evid C §§1041–1042 (usually pretrial motion); chap 44.
Journalist's immunity from contempt	Evid C §1070; Cal Const art I, §2(a)–(b); chap 48.
Not to be called as witness against spouse	Evid C §§970–973; chap 42.
Not to testify against spouse	Evid C §§970–973; chap 41.
Official information	Evid C §§1040, 1047; chap 43.
Physician-patient	Evid C §§916, 990–1007; chap 36.
Psychotherapist-patient	Evid C §§916, 1010–1027; chap 37.
Trade secrets	Evid C §§916, 1060; CCP §2019.210; chap 45.
Voter	Evid C §1050; chap 49.
Work product	CCP §2018.030; Pen C §1054.6; chap 35.



CONTINUING EDUCATION OF THE BAR ■ CALIFORNIA
Oakland, California

For update information call 1-800-232-3444
Website: ceb.com

